Computing the Solutions of the Combined Korteweg-de Vries Equation by Turing Machines *

Dianchen Lu, Qingyan Wang and Rui Zheng
Nonlinear Scientific Research Center
Jiangsu University
Zhenjiang, 212013, P.R.China.
`dclu@ujs.edu.cn`

In this paper, we study the computability of the initial value problem of Combined Korteweg-de Vries (CKdV, for short) equation: $u_t + uu_x + u^2u_x + u_{xxx} = 0$, $u(x,0) = \phi(x)$. It is shown that, for any integer $s \geqslant 3$, the nonlinear solution operator $K_R : H^s(\mathbb{R}) \to C(\mathbb{R}, H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ which maps an initial condition data ϕ to the solution of the Combined KdV equation can be computed by a Turing machine.

Keywords: combined KdV equation; Sobolev space; computability; Turing machines

1 Introduction

Differential equations are very popular mathematical models of real world problems. Not every differential equation has a well behaved solution. For those equations whose well behaved solutions exist, we are interested in how they can be computed. Thus, the computability of the solution operators for different types of nonlinear differential equations becomes one of the most exciting topics in effective analysis. This answers questions of the type: is it possible to calculate the solutions of some real word problems algorithmically? The answers to these questions are unfortunately not always positive. However, there are a lot of very interesting equations whose solutions do exist and can be calculated. These equations can be called computably solvable equations, in other words, their solution operators are computable. This means that, there are Turing machines which can transfer the initial data to the solutions of the equation in some particular spaces. For example, Klaus Weihrauch and Ning Zhong [7] have shown that the initial value problem of Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation posed on the real line $\mathbb{R}$: $u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0$, $t, x \in \mathbb{R}$, $u(x,0) = \phi(x)$ has a computable solution operator.

In this paper, we investigate a variation of Korteweg-de Vries equation: $u_t + uu_x + u^2u_x + u_{xxx} = 0$. This is often called Combined Korteweg-de Vries (CKdV) equation. The Combined KdV equation is also an important equation which is frequently used as a mathematical model in physics, hydrodynamics, biological and chemical fields. We will show that the solution operator of the CKdV equation is also computable. This extends the results of [2, 7]. The proof of the main theorem is given in Section 2.

2 Main result

In this section, we use a similar approach as that in [7] and retrieve two estimates to prove the main result. We use Type 2 theory of effectivity (TTE) as computation model. More relevant details can be found in [7].

---

[*] This work is supported by DFG (446 CHV 113/240/0-1) and NSFC (10420130638)

X. Zheng and N. Zhong (Eds.)
Computability and Complexity in Analysis (CCA 2010)
EPTCS 24, 2010, pp. 101–105, doi:10.4204/EPTCS.24.14

© D. Lu, Q. Wang & R. Zheng
This work is licensed under the
Creative Commons Attribution License.

For rigorous notation we occasionally write $u(x,t) := u(t)(x)$, where $u(t) : \mathbb{R} \to C(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$. More precisely, we are interested in the following initial value problem (IVP, for short) of CKdV equation on the real line $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x + u^2u_x + u_{xxx} = 0, & (t, x \in \mathbb{R}) \\ u(x,0) = \varphi(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}). \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Then we consider the solution operator $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ which maps the initial data $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ to the solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$, for $s \geq 3$.

The following is the equivalent integral equation of the initial value problem (1)

$$u(t) = \mathscr{F}^{-1}(E(t) \cdot \mathscr{F}(\varphi)) - \int_0^t \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(E(t-\tau) \cdot \mathscr{F}\left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}u^2(\tau) + \tfrac{1}{3}u^3(\tau)\right)\right)\right) \mathrm{d}\tau \tag{2}$$

where $u(t)(x) := u(x,t), E(t)(x) := e^{ix^3t}$, and $\mathscr{F}(\varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int\limits_{\mathrm{R}} e^{-ix\xi} \varphi(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi$.

We use the following iterative sequence with the initial data φ as the seed:

$$\begin{cases} v_0(t) = \mathscr{F}^{-1}(E(t) \cdot \mathscr{F}(\varphi)) \\ v_{j+1}(t) = v_0(t) - \int_0^t \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(E(t-\tau) \cdot \mathscr{F}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{2}v_j^2(\tau) + \frac{1}{3}v_j^3(\tau)\right)\right)\right) \mathrm{d}\tau. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

The iterative sequence (3) is contracting near $t = 0$, thus the sequence converges to a unique limit. Since the limit satisfies the integral equation (2), it is the solution of the initial value problem (1) near $t = 0$. To prove that the solution operator is computable, we need to construct a type-2 Turing machine to compute it.

Firstly, we define the operator:

$$S(u, \varphi, t) = \mathscr{F}^{-1}(E(t) \cdot \mathscr{F}(\varphi)) - \int_0^t \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(E(t-\tau) \cdot \mathscr{F}\left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}u^2(\tau) + \tfrac{1}{3}u^3(\tau)\right)\right)\right) \mathrm{d}\tau$$

which is $([\rho \to \delta_s], \delta_s, \rho, \delta_s)$-computable. This follows from Lemma 3.2 in [7] straightforwardly. Therefore, the function $\bar{S}(u, \varphi)(t) := S(u, \varphi, t)$ is $([\rho \to \delta_s], \delta_s, [\rho \to \delta_s])$-computable. Then we define the function $v : S(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{N} \to C(\mathbb{R} : S(\mathbb{R}))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &v(\varphi, 0) = \bar{S}(0, \varphi) \\ &v(\varphi, j+1) = \bar{S}(v(\varphi, j), \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that v is $(\delta_s, \gamma_{\mathbb{N}}, [\rho \to \delta_s])$-computable.

Now we can show several propositions which lead to the proof of our main theorem.

Proposition 2.1 *If $u(x,t)$ is the solution of the IVP (1), then there is a computable function $e : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which is non-decreasing in the second and third argument such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} \| u(x,t) \|_s \leqslant e_T^s(\| \varphi \|_s),$$

where $e_T^s(r) := e(s, T, r)$, s is an integer and $s \geqslant 3$.

Proof. See [3] $\square$

Proposition 2.2 *Let* $v^0 := \bar{S}(0,\varphi)$*, and* $v^{j+1} := \bar{S}(v^j,\varphi)$*. If*

$$\alpha_T^s T^{1/2}[8(3+T)^{3/2} \parallel \varphi \parallel_s^2 + 4(3+T) \parallel \varphi \parallel_s] \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \ ,$$

then we have

$$\parallel v^{j+1}(t) - v^j(t) \parallel_s \leqslant 2^{-j}(3+T)^{1/2} \parallel \varphi \parallel_s,$$

where $\alpha_T^s = \sqrt{s}\cdot 2^s \cdot T^{1/2} + 1$.

Proof.

According to Proposition 2.1 and lemmas4.8 in [7], if $T > 0$,$u \in X_T^s$, we can obtain that

$$\int_0^T \left\|uu_x + u^2 u_x\right\|_s dt \leqslant \alpha_T^s T^{1/2} \left\|u\right\|_{X_T^s} \left\|u\right\|_{X_T^s},$$

where $\alpha_T^s = \sqrt{s}\cdot 2^s \cdot T^{1/2} + 1$, $X_T^s = \{u \in C([0,T];H^s(\mathbb{R});\Lambda_T^s(u) < \infty)\}$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|u\|_{X_T^s}$(detail see Definition 4.7 in [7]).

Then let $W(t)\varphi = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} e^{i\xi^3 t} \hat{\varphi}(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi$.

Since $v^0 := \bar{S}(0,\varphi), v^{j+1} := \bar{S}\left(v^j,\varphi\right)$, by Lemma 4.9 and 4.10 in [7], for $j \geqslant 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\parallel v^j \parallel_{X_T^s} &= \parallel W(t)\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t W(t-\tau)\left[(v^{j-1})^2\right]_x d\tau - \frac{1}{3}\int_0^t W(t-\tau)\left[(v^{j-1})^3\right]_x d\tau \parallel_{X_T^s} \\
&\leqslant (3+T)^{1/2} \parallel \varphi \parallel_s + (3+T)^{1/2} \int_0^T \parallel v^{j-1} v_x^{j-1} + \left(v^{j-1}\right)^2 v_x^{j-1} \parallel_s d\tau \\
&\leqslant (3+T)^{1/2} \parallel \varphi \parallel_s + (3+T)^{1/2} \alpha_T^s T^{1/2} \parallel v^{j-1} \parallel_{X_T^s}^2 + (3+T)^{1/2} \alpha_T^s T^{1/2} \parallel v^{j-1} \parallel_{X_T^s}^3 .
\end{aligned}$$

Let $T > 0$, such that $\alpha_T^s T^{1/2}[8(3+T)^{3/2} \parallel \varphi \parallel_s^2 + 4(3+T) \parallel \varphi \parallel_s] \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$. From $\parallel v^0 \parallel_{X_T^s} = \parallel W(t)\varphi \parallel_{X_T^s} \leq (3+T)^{1/2} \parallel \varphi \parallel_s$ we obtain by induction

$$\parallel v^j \parallel_{X_T^s} \leqslant 2(3+T)^{1/2} \parallel \varphi \parallel_s . (for\ all\ \ j \in \mathbb{N})$$

For $j \geqslant 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\parallel v^j - v^{j-1} \parallel_{X_T^s} &= \parallel \int_0^t W(t-\tau)(\frac{1}{2}(\left[(v^{j-1})^2\right]_x - \left[(v^{j-2})^2\right]_x) + \frac{1}{3}(\left[(v^{j-1})^3\right]_x - \left[(v^{j-2})^3\right]_x))d\tau \parallel_{X_T^s} \\
&\leqslant (3+T)^{1/2} \alpha_T^s T^{1/2} \parallel \left[\left(v^{j-1}\right)^2 + v^{j-1}v^{j-2} + \left(v^{j-2}\right)^2\right] \cdot \left[v^{j-1} - v^{j-2}\right] \parallel_{X_T^s} \\
&+ (3+T)^{1/2} \alpha_T^s T^{1/2} \parallel \left[v^{j-1} + v^{j-2}\right] \cdot \left[v^{j-1} - v^{j-2}\right] \parallel_{X_T^s} \\
&\leqslant (3+T)^{3/2} 8\alpha_T^s T^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_s^2 \parallel v^{j-1} - v^{j-2} \parallel_{X_T^s} + (3+T) 4\alpha_T^s T^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_s \parallel v^{j-1} - v^{j-2} \parallel_{X_T^s} \\
&\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \parallel v^{j-1} - v^{j-2} \parallel_{X_T^s} .
\end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha_T^s T^{1/2}[8(3+T)^{3/2} \parallel \varphi \parallel_s^2 + 4(3+T) \parallel \varphi \parallel_s] \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$, then we obtain the result that

$$\parallel v^{j+1}(t) - v^j(t) \parallel_s \leqslant \parallel v^{j+1}(t) - v^j(t) \parallel_{X_T^s} \leq 2^{-j-1}(3+T)^{1/2} \parallel \varphi \parallel_s .$$

□

Proposition 2.3 *Let* $v(t)=\overline{S}(v,\varphi)(t)$, $v_n(t)=\overline{S}(v_n,\varphi_n)(t)$. *If*

$$\alpha_T^s T^{1/2}(3+T)^{3/2}(12\parallel\varphi\parallel_s^2+16\parallel\varphi\parallel_s+6)\leqslant\frac{1}{2},$$

then we have

$$\|v(t)-v_n(t)\|_s\leqslant 2(3+T)^{1/2}\|\varphi-\varphi_n\|_s,$$

where $\alpha_T^s=\sqrt{s}\cdot 2^s\cdot T^{1/2}+1$.

Proof. Since $v(t)=\overline{S}(v,\varphi)(t)$, $v_n(t)=\overline{S}(v_n,\varphi_n)(t)$, by Lemma 4.8 in [7], we obtain the result as following:

$$\begin{aligned}&\parallel v-v_n\parallel_{X_T^s}=\parallel W(t)(\varphi-\varphi_n)-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t W(t-\tau)\left[v^2-v_n^2\right]_x d\tau-\frac{1}{3}\int_0^t W(t-\tau)\left[v^3-v_n^3\right]_x d\tau\parallel_{X_T^s}\\&\leqslant(3+T)^{1/2}\parallel\varphi-\varphi_n\parallel_s+(3+T)^{1/2}\alpha_T^s T^{1/2}\parallel v^2+vv_n+v_n^2\parallel_{X_T^s}\cdot\parallel v-v_n\parallel_{X_T^s}\\&+(3+T)^{1/2}\alpha_T^s T^{1/2}\parallel v+v_n\parallel_{X_T^s}\cdot\parallel v-v_n\parallel_{X_T^s}.\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.2, if $\alpha_T^s T^{1/2}(3+T)^{3/2}(12\parallel\varphi\parallel_s^2+16\parallel\varphi\parallel_s+6)\leqslant\frac{1}{2}$,notice that $\parallel\varphi_n\parallel_s\leqslant\parallel\varphi\parallel_s+1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\parallel v-v_n\parallel_{X_T^s}&\leqslant(3+T)^{1/2}\parallel\varphi-\varphi_n\parallel_s+12(3+T)^{3/2}\alpha_T^s T^{1/2}\parallel\varphi\parallel_s^2\cdot\parallel v-v_n\parallel_{X_T^s}\\&+4(3+T)\alpha_T^s T^{1/2}\parallel\varphi\parallel_s\cdot\parallel v-v_n\parallel_{X_T^s}\\&\leqslant(3+T)^{1/2}\parallel\varphi-\varphi_n\parallel_s+\tfrac{1}{2}\parallel v-v_n\parallel_{X_T^s}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\parallel v-v_n\parallel_{X_T^s}\leqslant 2(3+T)^{1/2}\parallel\varphi-\varphi_n\parallel_s$, the sequence $\{v_n\}$ is uniform convergence. □

Finally, we give the main results are as follows:

Theorem 2.4 *The solution operator* $K_{\mathbb{R}}:H^s(\mathbb{R})\to C(\mathbb{R};H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ *of the initial value problem problem* (1) *is* $(\delta_{H^s},[\rho\to\delta_{H^s}])$*-computable for any integer* $s\geqslant 3$.

Proof. For a given initial value $\varphi\in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and a rational number $\overline{T}>0$ we will show how to compute the solution $u(t)$ of the initial value problem (1) at the time interval $0\leqslant t\leqslant\overline{T}$. For this purpose, we first find some appropriate rational number T such that $0<T<\overline{T}$, and show how to compute $u(t)$ from t' and $\psi:=u(t')$ at the time interval $[t',t'+T]$, $0\leqslant t'\leqslant\overline{T}$, by a fixed point iteration. Using this method, we can compute the values $u(T/2m)$ successively for $m=1,2,\cdots$ and finally $u(t)$ for any $0\leqslant t\leqslant\overline{T}$.

If $u_t+uu_x+u^2u_x+u_{xxx}=0,u(x,t')=\psi(x)$, and v is defined by $v(x,t):=u(x,t+t')$, then

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll}v_t+vv_x+v^2v_x+v_{xxx}=0 & x\in\mathbb{R},t\geqslant 0,\\ v(x,0)=\psi(x).\end{array}\right.\tag{4}$$

We assume that the initial value $\psi\in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ is given by a $\tilde{\delta}_{H^s}$-name, i.e., by a sequence $\psi_0,\psi_1,\cdots$ of Schwartz functions such that $\parallel\psi-\psi_n\parallel_s\leqslant 2^{-n}$. For any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we define function $v_n^0,v_n^1,\cdots$ in $C(\mathbb{R}:S(\mathbb{R}))$ by

$$v_n^0:=\overline{S}(0,\psi_n),\quad v_n^{j+1}:=\overline{S}(v_n^j,\psi_n).$$

We note that the sequence $\{v_n^j\}$ can be computed from ψ_n. By Proposition 3.3, the iterative sequence $v_n^0,v_n^1,\cdots$ converges to some v_n, then v_n is the fixed point of the iteration $\overline{S}$ and satisfies the following internal equation:

$$v_n(t)=\overline{S}(v_{n,}\psi_n)=\mathscr{F}^{-1}(E(t)\cdot\mathscr{F}(\varphi))-\int_0^t\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(E(t-\tau)\cdot\mathscr{F}\left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}u^2(\tau)+\tfrac{1}{3}u^3(\tau)\right)\right)\right)\mathrm{d}\tau$$

hence v_n solves the initial value problem:

$$\frac{\partial v_n}{\partial t}+v_n\frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x}+v_n^2\frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x^3}=0, \quad \text{and} \quad v_n(x,0)=\psi_n(x).$$

By Proposition 2.3, we will show that, by a contraction argument, for some sufficiently small computable real number $T>0$ (depending only on φ and $\overline{T}$), $v_n^j(t)\to v_n(t)$ as $j\to\infty$ for all n, and $v_n(t)\to v(t)$ as $n\to\infty$, sufficiently fast and uniformly in $t\in[0,T]$. We recall that v is the solution of the initial value problem (3). Then we can effectively determine a computable subsequence of the double sequence$\{v_n^j\}$ which will converge fast to v uniformly in $t\in[0,T]$.

Since v is the limit of a fast convergent computable sequence, v itself is computable. So $K_{\mathbb{R}}:(\varphi,t)\mapsto u(t)$ is $(\delta_{H^s},\rho,\delta_{H^s})$-computable for $t\geqslant 0$, the reflection $R:S(\mathbb{R})\to S(\mathbb{R}),R(\psi)(x):=\psi(-x)$, is $(\delta_{H^s},\delta_{H^s})$-computable. Define $u'(t)(x):=u(-t)(-x)$. Then $u_t'+u'u_x'+u'^2u_x'+u_{xxx}'=0$ and for $t\geqslant 0, u(-t)=R\circ u'(t)=R\circ K_{\mathbb{R}}(u'(0),t)=R\circ K_{\mathbb{R}}(R(\varphi),t)$, i.e., $u(t)=R\circ K_{\mathbb{R}}(R(\varphi),-t)$ for $t\leqslant 0$. Therefore, as the two computable functions join at 0, $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ is computable for $t\in\mathbb{R}$. (see [7]) □

Thus, we prove the main result, and we can see that the machine searches for fast approximations to $u(x;t)$, and computes the solutions of the Combined KdV equation with arbitrary precision. This approach can be extended to other nonlinear equations.

References

[1] J. Barros-Neto. An introduction to the theory of distributions. *Pure and Applied Mathematics*,14(1993).

[2] D. Lu and Q. Wang. Computing the Solution of the m-Korweg-de Vries Equation on Turing machines. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*,202:219-236(2008).

[3] D. Lu and R. Zheng. The boundness of the solution of the Combined KdV equation under the Turing computable circumstance. *Math.Appl.*,21(3):814-818(2008).

[4] K. Weihrauch. Computable Analysis. *Springer Verlag*, Berlin, Heidelberg 2000.

[5] N. Zhong and K. Weihrauch. Computatbility theory of generalized functions. *J. Assoc. for Computing Machinery*, 50(4): 469-505 (2003)

[6] J.L. Bourgain. Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattic subsets and application to non-linear evolution equation ,Part II: the KdV equation. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*,3:107-156(1993).

[7] K. Weihrauch and N. Zhong. Computing the solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation with arbitrary precision on Turing machines. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 322:337-366(2005).

[8] K. Weihrauch and N. Zhong. Is wave propagation computable or can wave computers beat the Turing machine? *Proc. London Math. Soc.*,85(2):312-332(2002).

[9] K. Weihrauch and N. Zhong. Is the linear Schrödinger Propagation Turing Computable? *CCA 2000*,369–377.